

Geometry of k -harmonic maps and the second variational formula of the k -energy

Shun Maeta

Abstract

In [6], J.Eells and L. Lemaire introduced k -harmonic maps, and T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi and H. Urakawa [1] showed the first variation formula. In this paper, we give the second variation formula of k -harmonic maps, and show non-existence theorem of proper k -harmonic maps into a Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature ($k \geq 2$). We also study k -harmonic maps into the product Riemannian manifold, and describe the ordinary differential equations of 3-harmonic curves and 4-harmonic curves into a sphere, and show their non-trivial solutions.¹

Introduction

Theory of harmonic maps has been applied into various fields in differential geometry. The harmonic maps between two Riemannian manifolds are critical maps of the energy functional $E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|d\phi\|^2 v_g$, for smooth maps $\phi : M \rightarrow N$.

On the other hand, in 1981, J. Eells and L. Lemaire [6] proposed the problem to consider the *k-harmonic maps*: they are critical maps of the functional

$$E_k(\phi) = \int_M e_k(\phi) v_g, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $e_k(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \|(d + d^*)^k \phi\|^2$ for smooth maps $\phi : M \rightarrow N$. G.Y. Jiang [5] studied the first and second variation formulas of the bi-energy E_2 , and critical maps of E_2 are called *biharmonic maps*. There have been extensive studies on biharmonic maps.

Recently, in 2009, T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi and H. Urakawa [1] studied the first variation formula of the k -energy E_k , whose critical maps are called k -harmonic maps. Harmonic maps are always k -harmonic maps by definition. In this paper, we study k -harmonic maps and show the second variational formula of E_k .

In §1, we introduce notation and fundamental formulas of the tension field and the k -stress energy tension field.

In §2, we recall k -harmonic maps, and state the second variation formula of the k -energy E_k which will be proved in §3.

In §4, we show the non-existence theorem of proper k -harmonic maps into a Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature ($k \geq 2$).

¹2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 58E20, secondary 53C43

In §5, we introduce the notion of stable k -harmonic maps, and show the non-existence theorem of non-trivial stable k -harmonic maps into constant sectional curvature manifolds.

In §6, we show the reduction theorem of k -harmonic maps into the product spaces.

Finally, in §7, we derive the necessary and sufficient condition to be k -harmonic maps into a sphere, and determine the ODEs of the 3-harmonic and 4-harmonic curve equations into a sphere, and show their non-trivial solutions, respectively.

We would like to express our gratitude to Professor Hajime Urakawa who introduced and helped to accomplish this paper. And we also would like to express our thanks to Professor Jun-ichi Inoguchi who helped us during the period of our study.

1 Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be an m dimensional Riemannian manifold, (N, h) an n dimensional one, and $\phi : M \rightarrow N$, a smooth map. We use the following notation. The second fundamental form $B(\phi)$ of ϕ is a covariant differentiation $\tilde{\nabla}d\phi$ of 1-form $d\phi$, which is a section of $\odot^2 T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$. For every $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, let

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} B(X, Y) &= (\tilde{\nabla}d\phi)(X, Y) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X d\phi)(Y) \\ &= \overline{\nabla}_X d\phi(Y) - d\phi(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_{d\phi(X)}^N d\phi(Y) - d\phi(\nabla_X Y). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\nabla, \nabla^N, \overline{\nabla}, \tilde{\nabla}$ are the induced connections on the bundles $TM, TN, \phi^{-1}TN$ and $T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$, respectively.

If M is compact, we consider critical maps of the energy functional

$$(2) \quad E(\phi) = \int_M e(\phi) v_g,$$

where $e(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \|d\phi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \langle d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_i) \rangle$ which is called the *energy density* of ϕ , and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Riemannian metric h . The *tension field* $\tau(\phi)$ of ϕ is defined by

$$(3) \quad \tau(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}d\phi)(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi)(e_i).$$

Then, ϕ is a *harmonic map* if $\tau(\phi) = 0$.

The curvature tensor field $\tilde{R}(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the Riemannian metric on the bundle $T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$ is defined as follows :

$$(4) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}, \quad (X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)).$$

Furthermore, we define the following: For any $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{R}(X, Y)d\phi)(Z) &= R^{\phi^{-1}TN}(X, Y)d\phi(Z) - d\phi(R^M(X, Y)Z) \\ &= R^N(d\phi(X), d\phi(Y))d\phi(Z) - d\phi(R^M(X, Y)Z), \end{aligned}$$

where R^M, R^N and $R^{\phi^{-1}TN}$ are the Riemannian curvature tensor fields on TM, TN and $\phi^{-1}TN$, respectively. And we define

$$(6) \quad \overline{\Delta} = \tilde{\nabla}^* \tilde{\nabla} = - \sum_{k=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_k} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_k} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k}),$$

is the *rough Laplacian*.

A section of $\odot^2 T^*M$ defined by $S_\phi = e(\phi)g - \phi^*h$ is called the *stress-energy tensor field*, and ϕ is said to satisfy the *conservation law* if $\operatorname{div} S_\phi = 0$. As in [6], it holds that

$$(\operatorname{div} S_\phi)(X) = -\langle \tau(\phi), d\phi(X) \rangle, \quad (X \in \Gamma(TM)),$$

We define that ϕ is said to satisfy the *k-conservation law* ($k \geq 2$) $\operatorname{div} S_\phi^k = 0$, where the *k-stress energy tensor field* S_ϕ^k is defined by

$$(7) \quad (\operatorname{div} S_\phi^k)(X) := -\langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(X) \rangle, \quad (X \in \Gamma(TM)).$$

2 k-harmonic maps

J. Eells and L. Lemaire [6] proposed the notation of *k-harmonic maps*. The Euler-Lagrange equations for the *k-harmonic maps* was shown by T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi and H. Urakawa [1]. We first recall it briefly.

Definition 2.1 ([6]). For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ the *k-energy functional* is defined by

$$E_k(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|(d + d^*)^k \phi\|^2 v_g, \quad \phi \in C^\infty(M, N).$$

Then, ϕ is *k-harmonic* if it is a critical point of E_k , i.e., for all smooth variation $\{\phi_t\}$ of ϕ with $\phi_0 = \phi$,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E_k(\phi_t) = 0.$$

We say for a *k-harmonic map* to be *proper* if it is not harmonic.

Then, the first variational formula of E_k can be obtained as follows. First, notice the following lemma which will be used to show Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 2.2 ([1]). For $k = 2, 3, \dots$, the *k-energy functional* E_k is given as follows:

Case 1: $k = 2l$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ (k is even).

$$E_{2l}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\underbrace{\overline{\Delta} \cdots \overline{\Delta}}_{l-1} \tau(\phi)\|^2 v_g.$$

Case 2: $k = 2l + 1$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ (k is odd).

$$E_{2l+1}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\overline{\nabla}(\underbrace{\overline{\Delta} \cdots \overline{\Delta}}_{l-1} \tau(\phi))\|^2 v_g.$$

Then, we have

Theorem 2.3 ([1]). *Let $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Then, we have*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E_k(\phi_t) = - \int_M \langle \tau_k(\phi), V \rangle v_g,$$

where

$$\tau_k(\phi) := J \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right) = \overline{\Delta} \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right) - \mathcal{R} \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right),$$

and

$$\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) = \underbrace{\overline{\Delta} \cdots \overline{\Delta}}_{k-2} \tau(\phi).$$

As a corollary of this theorem, we have

Corollary 2.4 ([1]). *$\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is a k -harmonic map if*

$$(8) \quad \tau_k(\phi) := J \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right) = \overline{\Delta} \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right) - \mathcal{R} \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right) = 0.$$

Notice here that any harmonic map is k -harmonic.

We recall the results of Jiang [5] on the second variation formula of the 2-energy E_2 .

Theorem 2.5 ([5]). *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a 2-harmonic map from a compact Riemannian manifold M into an arbitrary Riemannian manifold N , and $\{\phi_t\}$ an arbitrary C^∞ variation of ϕ satisfying (11),(12). Then, the second variation formula of $\frac{1}{2}E_2(\phi_t)$ is given as follows.*

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} E_2(\phi_t) &= \int_M \langle \overline{\nabla}^* \overline{\nabla} V + R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i), \\ &\quad - \overline{\nabla}^* \overline{\nabla} V + R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i) \rangle v_g \\ &+ \int_M \langle V, (\nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}^N R^N)(\tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) V \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{\tau(\phi)}^N R^N)(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i) \\ &\quad + R^N(V, \tau(\phi)) \tau(\phi) \\ &\quad + 2R^N(V, d\phi(e_k)) \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \\ &\quad + 2R^N(\tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} V \rangle v_g. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we show the second variation formula of the k -energy E_k .

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a k -harmonic map from a compact Riemannian manifold M into an arbitrary Riemannian manifold N , and $\{\phi_t\}$ an*

arbitrary C^∞ variation of ϕ satisfying (11), (12). Then, the second variation formula of $\frac{1}{2}E_k(\phi_t)$ is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} \\
&= \int_M \left\langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V - R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i), \right. \\
& \quad \left. \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \{(\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V - R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i))\} \right\rangle v_g \\
(10) \quad & - \int_M \left\langle V, \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \{(\nabla_{d\phi(e_k)}^N R^N)(d\phi(e_k), V) \tau(\phi) + R^N(\tau(\phi), V) \tau(\phi) \right. \\
& \quad + R^N(d\phi(e_k), \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} V) \tau(\phi) + 2R^N(d\phi(e_k), V) \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \} \\
& \quad + (\nabla_V^N R^N)(d\phi(e_i), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)) d\phi(e_i) \\
& \quad + R^N(\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V, d\phi(e_i)) \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \\
& \quad \left. - 2R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V \right\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

3 Proof of the second variational formula of k -energy

In this section, we calculate the second variation formula the of k -energy E_k .

Assume that $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map, M is a compact Riemannian manifold, N and is a Riemannian manifold. First, let

$$(11) \quad \phi_t : M \rightarrow N, \quad t \in I_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon), \epsilon > 0,$$

be a C^∞ one parameter variation of ϕ which yields a vector field $V \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$ along ϕ in N by

$$(12) \quad \phi_0 = \phi, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \phi_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = V.$$

The variation $\{\phi_t\}$ yields a smooth map $F : M \times I_\epsilon \rightarrow N$, which is defined by

$$(13) \quad F(p, t) = \phi_t(p), \quad (p \in M, t \in I_\epsilon).$$

Taking the usual Euclidean metric on I_ϵ , and the product Riemannian metric on $M \times I_\epsilon$, we denote by $\nabla, \bar{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$, the induced Riemannian connection on $T(M \times I_\epsilon)$, $F^{-1}TN$ and $T^*(M \times I_\epsilon) \otimes F^{-1}TN$, respectively. If $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame field defined on a neighborhood U of $p \in M$, $\{e_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\}$ is also an orthonormal frame field on a coordinate neighborhood $U \times I_\epsilon$ in $M \times I_\epsilon$, and it holds that

$$(14) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0, \nabla_{e_i} e_i = \nabla_{e_i} e_i, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} e_i = \nabla_{e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0.$$

It also holds that

$$(15) \quad \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} = dF\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), d\phi_t(e_i) = dF(e_i),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi_t)(e_j) = \nabla_{d\phi_t(e_i)}^N d\phi_t(e_j) - df_t(\nabla_{e_i} e_j) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_j) \\
(16) \quad & (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_k} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi_t)(e_j) = \nabla_{d\phi_t(e_k)}^N ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi_t)(e_j)) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi_t)(\nabla_{e_k} e_j) \\
& = (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_k} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_j),
\end{aligned}$$

and so on. Then,

Lemma 3.1 ([5]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)) \\
(17) \quad & = (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \\
& \quad - R^N \left(dF(e_i), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right) dF(e_i),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)) \\
& = \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \left[(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - R^N(dF(e_i), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) dF(e_i) \right] \\
(18) \quad & - \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \left[R^N(dF(e_k), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)) \right] \\
& - R^N(dF(e_k), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)) \\
& = \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} \left[(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right. \\
(19) \quad & \left. - R^N(dF(e_i), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) dF(e_i) \right] \\
& - R^N(dF(\nabla_{e_k} e_k), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.1, we prove Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.6.

As in [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} E_k(\phi_t) = \int_M \langle dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), (\bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k}) (\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j))) \rangle v_g \\
& + \int_M \langle R^N(dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), dF(e_i)) dF(e_i), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j))) \rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(f_t) &= \int_M \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), -\bar{\Delta}(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i))) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(e_i)), dF(e_j))dF(e_j) \rangle v_g \\
&\quad + \int_M \langle dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} [-\bar{\Delta}(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j))) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(e_i))dF(e_i)] \rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we calculate $\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \left[R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(e_i))dF(e_i) \right]$. Using (17) and second Bianchi's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(22) \quad &\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \left[R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(e_i))dF(e_i) \right] \\
&= (\nabla_{\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j))} R^N)(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(e_i))dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + (\nabla_{dF(e_i)} R^N)(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}))dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}\{(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j} e_j} dF)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \\
&\quad \quad + R^N(dF(e_j), dF\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right))dF(e_j)\}, dF(e_i))dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}))dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(e_i))(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (17), (18), (19) and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(f_t) \\
&= \int_M \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), -\bar{\Delta}(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j))) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(e_i)) dF(e_i) \rangle v_g \\
&+ \int_M \left\langle dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), \right. \\
&\quad \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \left\{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \left[(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j} e_j} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - R^N(dF(e_j), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) dF(e_j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \left[R^N(dF(e_k), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - R^N(dF(e_k), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} \left[(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j} e_j} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - R^N(dF(e_j), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) dF(e_j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - R^N(dF(\nabla_{e_k} e_k), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) ((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)) \right\} \\
&\quad + (\nabla_{\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j))} R^N)(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(e_i)) dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + (\nabla_{dF(e_i)} R^N)(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})) dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \{ (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j} e_j} dF) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \\
&\quad - R^N(dF(e_j), dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)) dF(e_j) \}, dF(e_i)) dF(e_i) \\
&\quad + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})) dF(e_i) \\
&\quad \left. + R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} dF)(e_j)), dF(e_i)) (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} dF)(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \right\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}$$

Putting $t = 0$ in (23), the first term of the RHS of (23) vanishes since ϕ is k -harmonic.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} \\
&= \int_M \left\langle -\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V - R^N(d\phi(e_i), V) d\phi(e_i), \right. \\
& \quad \left. \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \{(-\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V - R^N(d\phi(e_j), V) d\phi(e_j))\} \right\rangle v_g \\
(24) \quad & - \int_M \left\langle V, \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \{(\nabla_{d\phi(e_k)}^N R^N)(d\phi(e_k), V) \tau(\phi) + R^N(\tau(\phi), V) \tau(\phi) \right. \\
& \quad + R^N(d\phi(e_k), \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} V) \tau(\phi) + 2R^N(d\phi(e_k), V) \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi)\} \\
& \quad - (\nabla_{\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)}^N R^N)(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i) \\
& \quad - (\nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}^N R^N)(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), V) d\phi(e_i) \\
& \quad - R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) d\phi(e_i) \\
& \quad \left. - R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V \right\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Bianchi's second identity,

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad & -(\nabla_{\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)}^N R^N)(V, d\phi(e_i)) - (\nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}^N R^N)(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), V) \\
& = (\nabla_V^N R^N)(d\phi(e_i), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)),
\end{aligned}$$

and Bianchi's first identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad & -R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) d\phi(e_i) \\
& = R^N(\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V, d\phi(e_i)) \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) - R^N(\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V,
\end{aligned}$$

we have Theorem 2.6. $\square$

4 k -harmonic maps into Riemannian manifold of non positive sectional curvature

Jiang [5] showed the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 ([5]). *Assume that M is compact and N is non positive curvature, i.e., Riemannian curvature of N $\text{Riem}^N \leq 0$. Then, every 2-harmonic map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is harmonic.*

In this section, we generalize this proposition to every k -harmonic map. Namely, we have

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that M is compact and N is non positive curvature, $\text{Riem}^N \leq 0$. Then, every k -harmonic map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is harmonic.*

To prove this theorem, we show Theorem 4.5. First, we show the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $l = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), then*

$$\bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) = 0.$$

Proof. Indeed, we can define a global vector field $X_\phi \in \Gamma(TM)$ defined by

$$(27) \quad X_\phi = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle -\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) \rangle e_j.$$

Then, the divergence of X_ϕ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(X_\phi) &= \langle \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^m \langle -\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

by the assumption. Integrating this over M , we have

$$0 = \int_M \operatorname{div}(X_\phi) v_g = \int_M \langle \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) \rangle v_g,$$

which implies $\bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) = 0$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $l = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) = 0$, then*

$$\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Proof. Indeed, by computing the Laplacian of the $2l$ -energy density $e_{2l}(\phi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta e_{2l}(\phi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle \\ (28) \quad &\quad - \left\langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla}(\bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi)), \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Green's theorem $\int_M \Delta e_{2l}(\phi) v_g = 0$, and (28), we have $\Delta e_{2l}(\phi) = 0$. Again, by (28), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots).$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Let $l = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\bar{\Delta}^l \tau(\phi) = 0$ or $\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \tau(\phi) = 0$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then every k -harmonic map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ from a compact Riemannian manifold M into another Riemannian manifold N is a harmonic map.*

Proof. By using Lemma 4.3, 4.4, we have Lemma 4.5. $\square$

By using Lemma 4.5, we show Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2.

By computing the Laplacian of the $2(k-1)$ -energy density $e_{2(k-1)}(\phi)$, we have

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Delta e_{2(k-1)}(\phi) \\ &= \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle - \left\langle \overline{\nabla}^* \overline{\nabla}(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi)), \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By using

$$(30) \quad \tau_k(\phi) = \overline{\Delta}^{(k-1)} \tau(\phi) - \mathcal{R}(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi)) = 0.$$

Then, we have

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle = - \left\langle \mathcal{R}(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi)), \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

due to $\text{Riem}^N \leq 0$. By Green's theorem,

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \Delta e_{2(k-1)}(\phi) v_g = \int_M \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle v_g \\ & \quad - \int_M \left\langle \mathcal{R}(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi)), \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle v_g. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the both terms of the RHS of (32) are non-negative, so that we have

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta e_{2(k-1)}(\phi) = \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \left\langle \mathcal{R}(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi)), \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since the both terms of (33) are non-negative again, we have

$$(34) \quad \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

By using Lemma 4.5, we have $\tau(\phi) = 0$. $\square$

5 Stable k -harmonic maps

In this section, we generalize the results of Jiang [5] on stable 2-harmonic maps to stable k -harmonic maps.

By the second variation formula, Jiang [5] defined the notion of stable 2-harmonic maps as follows.

Definition 5.1 ([5]). Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a 2-harmonic map of a compact Riemannian manifold M into a Riemannian manifold N . Then, ϕ is *stable* if the second variation of 2-energy is non-negative for every variation $\{\phi_t\}$ along ϕ .

Notice that by definition of the 2-energy, any harmonic maps are stable 2-harmonic maps. This also can be seen as follows: since $\tau(\phi) = 0$, for a vector field V of any variation $\{\phi_t\}$, it holds that

$$(35) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_2(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} = \int_M \| -\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V + R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i) \|^2 v_g \geq 0.$$

Theorem 5.2 ([5]). *Assume that M is a compact Riemannian manifold, and N is a Riemannian manifold with a non-negative constant sectional curvature $K \geq 0$. Then, there is no non-trivial stable 2-harmonic map satisfying the conservation law.*

By the second variation formula (cf. Theorem 2.6), we can introduce the notion of stable k -harmonic maps.

Definition 5.3. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be any k -harmonic map of a compact Riemannian manifold M into a Riemannian manifold N . Then, ϕ is *stable* if the second variation of k -energy is non-negative for every variation $\{\phi_t\}$ of ϕ , i.e., (10) in Theorem 2.6 is non-negative for every vector field V along ϕ .

We have immediately

Proposition 5.4. *All harmonic maps $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ are stable $2l$ -harmonic maps ($l = 1, 2, \dots$).*

Proof. Let $k = 2l$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots$). Since $\tau(\phi) = 0$, by Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} = \int_M \| \bar{\Delta}^{l-1} (\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V - R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i)) \|^2 v_g \geq 0.$$

□

Furthermore, one can consider a stable k -harmonic map into a Riemannian manifold (N, h) of constant sectional curvature. Then, we have

Theorem 5.5. *Assume that M is a compact Riemannian manifold, and N is a Riemannian manifold of non-negative constant sectional curvature $K \geq 0$. Then, there are no stable proper k -harmonic maps satisfying the conservation law, the k -conservation law and the $2(k-1)$ -conservation law.*

Proof. Since N has constant curvature, $\nabla^N R^N = 0$, so that (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= \int_M \left\langle \overline{\nabla}^* \overline{\nabla} V - R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} (\overline{\nabla}^* \overline{\nabla} V - R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)) d\phi(e_i)) \right\rangle v_g \\
&\quad - \int_M \left\langle V, \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \{ R^N(\tau(\phi), V) \tau(\phi) \right. \\
&\quad \quad + R^N(d\phi(e_k), \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} V) \tau(\phi) \\
&\quad \quad + 2R^N(d\phi(e_k), V) \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \} \\
&\quad \quad + R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} V, d\phi(e_i)) \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \\
&\quad \quad \left. - 2R^N(\overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} V \right\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Especially, if we take $V = \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)$, then the first term of the RHS of (36) must vanish. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(37) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= - \int_M \langle \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi), R^N(\tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)) \tau(\phi) \\
&\quad + R^N(d\phi(e_k), \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)) \tau(\phi) \\
&\quad + 2R^N(d\phi(e_k), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)) \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \rangle v_g \\
&\quad - \int_M \langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \\
&\quad \quad - 2R^N(\overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i)) \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle v_g. \\
&= -K \int_M \langle \tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad - \|\tau(\phi)\|^2 \langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle d\phi(e_k), \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle d\phi(e_k), \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad + 2 \langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle d\phi(e_k), \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad - 2 \langle d\phi(e_k), \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle d\phi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle d\phi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad - 2 \langle d\phi(e_i), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \|\overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \langle \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle d\phi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(38) \quad \langle d\phi(e_i), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle &= e_i \langle d\phi(e_i), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi(e_i), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&= -\langle \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle - \langle d\phi(\nabla_{e_i} e_i), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&= -\langle \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions, we have that

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} \langle \tau(\phi), d\phi(X) \rangle = 0, \\ \langle d\phi(X), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle = 0, \\ \langle d\phi(X), \bar{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. And we have $\langle d\phi(e_k), \bar{\nabla}_{e_k} \tau(\phi) \rangle = -\|\tau(\phi)\|^2$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(40) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_k(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} &= -K \int_M \langle \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad + \|\tau(\phi)\|^2 \langle \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^{2(k-2)} \tau(\phi) \rangle \\
&\quad + 2 \langle \tau(\phi), \bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi) \rangle \|\bar{\Delta}^{k-2} \tau(\phi)\|^2 v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we divide the situation into two cases.

Case 1) $k = 2l$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots$). In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad 0 \leq \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_{2l}(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} &= -K \int_M \|\bar{\Delta}^{2(l-1)} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \|\bar{\Delta}^{l-1} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \|\tau(\phi)\|^2 \|\bar{\Delta}^{3(l-1)} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \|\bar{\Delta}^{l-1} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \|\bar{\Delta}^{2(l-1)} \tau(\phi)\|^2 v_g \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.5, we obtain $\tau(\phi) = 0$.

Case 2) $k = 2l + 1$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots$). In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad 0 \leq \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_{2l+1}(\phi_t) \right|_{t=0} &= -K \int_M \|\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{2(l-1)} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \|\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{l-1} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \|\tau(\phi)\|^2 \|\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{3l-2} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \|\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\Delta}^{l-1} \tau(\phi)\|^2 \|\bar{\Delta}^{2l-1} \tau(\phi)\|^2 v_g \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.5, we obtain $\tau(\phi) = 0$.

So, we have Theorem 5.5. $\square$

6 The k -harmonic maps into the product spaces

In this section, we describe the necessary and sufficient condition to be k -harmonic maps into the product spaces. First, let us recall the result of Y.-L. Ou [4].

Theorem 6.1 ([4]). *Let $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N_1, h_1)$ and $\psi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N_2, h_2)$ be two maps. Then, the map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N_1 \times N_2, h_1 \times h_2)$ with $\phi(x) = (\varphi(x), \psi(x))$ is 2-harmonic if and only if the both map φ or ψ are 2-harmonic. Furthermore, if one of φ or ψ is 2-harmonic and the other is a proper 2-harmonic map, then ϕ is a proper 2-harmonic map.*

We generalize Theorem 6.1 for k -harmonic maps. Namely, we have the following theorem which is useful to construct examples the k -harmonic maps.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N_1, h_1)$ and $\psi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N_2, h_2)$ be two maps. Then, the map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N_1 \times N_2, h_1 \times h_2)$ with $\phi(x) = (\varphi(x), \psi(x))$ is k -harmonic if and only if the both map φ or ψ are k -harmonic. Furthermore, if one of φ or ψ is harmonic and the other is a proper k -harmonic map, then ϕ is a proper k -harmonic map.*

Proof. As in [4], $\tau(\phi) = \tau(\varphi) + \tau(\psi)$, $\overline{\Delta}_\phi \tau(\phi) = \overline{\Delta}_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + \overline{\Delta}_\psi \tau(\psi)$. So we only notice that $\overline{\Delta}_\varphi \tau(\varphi)$ is tangent to N_1 , $\overline{\Delta}_\psi \tau(\psi)$ is tangent to N_2 . So we have

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\Delta}_\phi(\overline{\Delta}_\phi \tau(\phi)) &= \overline{\Delta}_\phi(\overline{\Delta}_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + \overline{\Delta}_\psi \tau(\psi)) \\ &= \overline{\Delta}_\varphi(\overline{\Delta}_\varphi \tau(\varphi)) + \overline{\Delta}_\psi(\overline{\Delta}_\psi \tau(\psi)). \end{aligned}$$

Simillary,

$$\overline{\Delta}_\phi^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi) = \overline{\Delta}_\varphi^{(k-2)} \tau(\varphi) + \overline{\Delta}_\psi^{(k-2)} \tau(\psi).$$

We use the property of the curvature of the product manifold to have

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{N_1 \times N_2}(d\phi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}_\phi^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\ &= R^{N_1}(d\varphi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}_\varphi^{(k-2)} \tau(\varphi))d\varphi(e_i) + R^{N_2}(d\psi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}_\psi^{(k-2)} \tau(\psi))d\psi(e_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_k(\phi) &= \overline{\Delta}_\phi^{(k-1)} \tau(\phi) + R^{N_1 \times N_2}(d\phi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}_\phi^{(k-2)} \tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\ &= \overline{\Delta}_\varphi^{(k-1)} \tau(\varphi) + R^{N_1}(d\varphi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}_\varphi^{(k-2)} \tau(\varphi))d\varphi(e_i) \\ &\quad + \overline{\Delta}_\psi^{(k-1)} \tau(\psi) + R^{N_2}(d\psi(e_i), \overline{\Delta}_\psi^{(k-2)} \tau(\psi))d\psi(e_i) \\ &= \tau_k(\varphi) + \tau_k(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following corollary generalizes Corollary 3.4 in [4].

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\psi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be a smooth map. Then, the graph $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (M \times N, g \times h)$ with $\phi(x) = (x, \psi(x))$ is a k -harmonic map if and only if the map $\psi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is a k -harmonic map. Furthermore, if ψ is proper k -harmonic, then so is the graph.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.2 with $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ being identity map which is harmonic. □

Example 6.4. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^n$ be a smooth curve parametrized by the arc length in the product space $\mathbb{R} \times S^n$ with the standard product metric defined by

$$\phi(x) = (x, \cos(\sqrt{2}x)c_1 + \sin(\sqrt{2}x)c_2 + c_4),$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_4 are constant vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ orthogonal to each other with $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = |c_4|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ as in Example 7.7. Then, $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^n$ is k -harmonic for $k = 2, 3$ and 4 .

7 Determination of k -harmonic curves into a sphere

We determine that the ODEs of the 3-harmonic, and 4-harmonic curve equations into a sphere, respectively.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth curve defined on an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ parametrized by arc length. Then, γ is k -harmonic curve if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^{(k-1)} (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') + (\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^{(k-2)} (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') \\ & - g \left((\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^{(k-2)} (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma'), \gamma' \right) \gamma' = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where g is the standard Riemannian metric on S^n of constant sectional curvature 1, we denote by γ' the differential of γ with respect to the arc length.

Proof. γ is a k -harmonic curve if and only if

$$\tau_k(\gamma) := \overline{\Delta} \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\gamma) \right) - \mathcal{R} \left(\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} \tau(\gamma) \right) = 0.$$

Now, we have $\tau(\gamma) = \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma'$, $\mathcal{R}(V) = V - g(V, \gamma') \gamma'$, and $\overline{\Delta}_\gamma V = -\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'} V$, respectively for all $V \in \Gamma(\gamma^{-1} T S^n)$. By

$$\overline{\Delta}^{(k-2)} V = (-1)^{(k-2)} (\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^{(k-2)} V,$$

we have Theorem 7.1. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth curve parametrized by arc length. Then γ is 3-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$(45) \quad \gamma^{(6)} + 2\gamma^{(4)} + (2 - g_{22})\gamma'' - 4g_{23}\gamma' + (2 - 3g_{22} - 9g_{24} - 8g_{33})\gamma = 0,$$

where $g_{ij} = g_0(\gamma^{(i)}, \gamma^{(j)})$, $(i, j = 0, 1 \dots)$, and g_0 is the standard metric on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Proof.

$$\nabla_{\gamma'}^0 \gamma' = \sigma(\gamma', \gamma') + \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma',$$

which yields that

$$\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = \nabla_{\gamma'}^0 \gamma' + g(\gamma', \gamma') \gamma.$$

Therefore, we have $\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = \gamma'' + \gamma$. Similarly,

$$(\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'}) (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') = \gamma^{(4)} + \gamma'' + (g_{13} + 1)\gamma.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad & (\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^2 (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') \\
&= \gamma^{(6)} + \gamma^{(4)} + (g_{13} + 1)\gamma'' + (2g_{23} + 3g_{14})\gamma' \\
&\quad + (1 + g_{33} + 3g_{24} + 3g_{15} + g_{22} + 3g_{13})\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used $g_{13} = -g_{22}$, $g_{14} = -3g_{23}$, $g_{15} = -3g_{33} - 4g_{24}$. So we have Proposition 7.2. $\square$

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth curve parametrized by the arc length. Then, γ is 4-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad & \gamma^{(8)} + 2\gamma^{(6)} + (2 - g_{22})\gamma^{(4)} - 11g_{23}\gamma^{(3)} \\
& + (-24g_{33} - 25g_{24} + 2 - 3g_{22})\gamma'' \\
& + (19g_{34} + 20g_{25} + 9g_{16} - 13g_{23})\gamma' \\
& + (5g_{44} + 11g_{35} + 10g_{26} + 5g_{17} - 40g_{33} - 43g_{24} + g_{22}^2 - 5g_{22} + 2)\gamma \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where, $g_{ij} = g_0(\gamma^{(i)}, \gamma^{(j)})$, $(i, j = 0, 1, \dots)$.

Proof. We calculate $(\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^3 (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma')$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla_{\gamma'} \nabla_{\gamma'})^3 (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') \\
&= \gamma^{(8)} + \gamma^{(6)} + (g_{13} + 1)\gamma^{(4)} + (4g_{23} + 5g_{14})\gamma^{(3)} \\
& + (6g_{33} + 15g_{24} + 10g_{15} + 1 + g_{22} + 3g_{13})\gamma'' \\
& + (19g_{34} + 20g_{25} + 10g_{16} + 12g_{23} + 10g_{14})\gamma' \\
& + (5g_{44} + 11g_{35} + 10g_{26} + 5g_{17} + 10g_{33} + 22g_{24} + 14g_{15} + g_{13}^2 + 4g_{13} + 1 + g_{22})\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (46), we have Proposition 7.3. $\square$

We can derive the ODE to be 3-harmonic or 4-harmonic in terms of the Frenet-frame. Indeed, the Frenet-frame is given as follows:

$$(48) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma' = T, \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}^N T = \kappa N, \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}^N N = -\kappa T, \\ \langle T, N \rangle = 0, \quad \langle T, T \rangle = 1, \quad \langle N, N \rangle = 1, \end{cases}$$

where κ is the geodesic curvature and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g$ the standard Riemannian metric on S^2 . Then, we have the following.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow (S^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a smooth curve parametrized by the arc length. Then, γ is a 3-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2\kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2 + \kappa'' - \kappa^3 = 0, \\ \kappa\kappa^{(3)} - 2\kappa^3\kappa' + 2\kappa'\kappa'' = 0, \end{cases}$$

where κ is the geodesic curvature of γ .

Proof. We calculate $(\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N)^2 \tau(\gamma)$ as follows.

$$(49) \quad (\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N)^2 \tau(\gamma) = (\kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2 \kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2)N \\ + (-5\kappa\kappa^{(3)} + 10\kappa^3 \kappa' - 10\kappa' \kappa'')T.$$

Therefore, γ is 3-harmonic if and only if

$$(50) \quad (\kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2 \kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2 + \kappa'' - \kappa^3)N \\ + (-5\kappa\kappa^{(3)} + 10\kappa^3 \kappa' - 10\kappa' \kappa'')T = 0.$$

So we have Proposition 7.4. $\square$

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow (S^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a smooth curve parametrized by the arc length. Then, γ is 4-harmonic if and only if*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa^{(6)} - 24(\kappa'')^2 - 24\kappa' \kappa''' - 45(\kappa')^2 \kappa'' - 46\kappa(\kappa'')^2 - 81\kappa\kappa' \kappa^{(3)} - 21\kappa^2 \kappa^{(4)} \\ + 93\kappa^3 (\kappa')^2 + 35\kappa^4 \kappa'' + 12\kappa^2 (\kappa')^2 - \kappa^7 \\ + (\kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2 \kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2) = 0, \\ -7\kappa\kappa^{(5)} + 48\kappa\kappa' \kappa'' + 162\kappa^2 \kappa' \kappa'' + 35\kappa^3 \kappa^{(3)} - 21\kappa^5 \kappa' + 69\kappa(\kappa')^3 \\ - 21\kappa' \kappa^{(4)} + 12(\kappa')^3 - 35\kappa'' \kappa^{(3)} = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where κ is the geodesic curvature of γ .

Proof. We calculate $(\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N)^3 \tau(\gamma)$ as follows.

$$(51) \quad (\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N)^3 \tau(\gamma) \\ = (\kappa^{(6)} - 24(\kappa'')^2 - 24\kappa' \kappa''' - 45(\kappa')^2 \kappa'' - 46\kappa(\kappa'')^2 \\ - 81\kappa\kappa' \kappa^{(3)} - 21\kappa^2 \kappa^{(4)} + 93\kappa^3 (\kappa')^2 + 35\kappa^4 \kappa'' + 12\kappa^2 (\kappa')^2 - \kappa^7)N \\ + (-7\kappa\kappa^{(5)} + 48\kappa\kappa' \kappa'' + 162\kappa^2 \kappa' \kappa'' + 35\kappa^3 \kappa^{(3)} - 21\kappa^5 \kappa' + 69\kappa(\kappa')^3 \\ - 21\kappa' \kappa^{(4)} + 12(\kappa')^3 - 35\kappa'' \kappa^{(3)})T.$$

Therefore, using (49), γ is 4-harmonic if and only if

$$(52) \quad (\kappa^{(6)} - 24(\kappa'')^2 - 24\kappa' \kappa''' - 45(\kappa')^2 \kappa'' - 46\kappa(\kappa'')^2 \\ - 81\kappa\kappa' \kappa^{(3)} - 21\kappa^2 \kappa^{(4)} + 93\kappa^3 (\kappa')^2 + 35\kappa^4 \kappa'' + 12\kappa^2 (\kappa')^2 - \kappa^7 \\ + (\kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2 \kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2))N \\ + (-7\kappa\kappa^{(5)} + 48\kappa\kappa' \kappa'' + 162\kappa^2 \kappa' \kappa'' + 35\kappa^3 \kappa^{(3)} - 21\kappa^5 \kappa' + 69\kappa(\kappa')^3 \\ - 21\kappa' \kappa^{(4)} + 12(\kappa')^3 - 35\kappa'' \kappa^{(3)})T = 0.$$

So we have Proposition 7.5. $\square$

We show the following Theorem 7.6.

Theorem 7.6. *Every non-harmonic k -harmonic curve into $(S^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ whose geodesic curvature is constant is 2-harmonic. Namely, $\gamma : I \rightarrow (S^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ a smooth curve parametrized by the arc length. If the geodesic curvature κ of γ is constant, every k -harmonic is 2-harmonic.*

Proof. γ is 2-harmonic curve if and only if

$$(\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N) \tau(\gamma) + \tau(\gamma) - \langle \tau(\gamma), \gamma' \rangle \gamma' = 0.$$

Now we have $\tau(\gamma) = \kappa N$ and $(\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N) \tau(\gamma) = (\kappa'' - \kappa^2)N - 3\kappa\kappa'T$. Therefore, γ is 2-harmonic if and only if $\kappa^2 = 1$. Next, we consider k -harmonic curve. We set geodesic curvature κ of γ is constant. Then, we have

$$(\nabla_{\gamma'}^N \nabla_{\gamma'}^N)^{k-2} \tau(\gamma) = (-1)^{k-2} \kappa^{2(k-2)+1} N.$$

So, γ is k -harmonic curve if and only if

$$0 = (-1)^{k-2} \kappa^{2(k-2)+1} (\kappa^2 - 1) N.$$

So, we have Theorem 7.6. $\square$

Example 7.7 ([3]). R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc gave following two curves are propre 2-harmonic curves $\gamma : I \rightarrow S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (cf.[3]) .

$$(53) \quad \gamma(t) = \cos(\sqrt{2}t)c_1 + \sin(\sqrt{2}t)c_2 + c_4,$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_4 are constant vectors orthogonal to each other with $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = |c_4|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$(54) \quad \gamma(t) = \cos(at)c_1 + \sin(at)c_2 + \cos(bt)c_3 + \sin(bt)c_4,$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 are constant vectors orthogonal to each other with $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = |c_3|^2 = |c_4|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, and $a^2 + b^2 = 2, a^2 \neq b^2$.

Proposition 7.8. *The curves (53) and (54) in Example 7.7 are also 3-harmonic and 4-harmonic.*

Proof. We can show this proposition by a direct computation. The proof is omitted. $\square$

Remark 7.9. Notice that a 2-harmonic map implies not always to be 3-harmonic or 4-harmonic. Proposition 7.8 is non-trivial.

References

- [1] T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi and H. Urakawa, *Bi-harmonic map and bi-Yang-Mills fields*, Note di Matematica, **28** (2009), 233-275.
- [2] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of variation and harmonic maps*, Transl. Math. Monograph. **132**, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [3] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **130** (2002), 109-123.
- [4] Y.-L. Ou, *Some constructions of biharmonic maps and Chen's conjecture on biharmonic hypersurfaces*, arXiv:0912.1141v1 [math.DG] 6 Dec 2009.

- [5] G. Y. Jiang, 2 -harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas, Chinese Ann. Math. , 7A (1986), 388-402; the English translation, Note di Matematica, **28**, (2009), 209-232.
- [6] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, CBMS, **50**, Amer. Math. Soc, 1983.
- [7] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Science 2006.
- [8] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, **I - IV**, Wilmington: Publish or Perlish, 1979.

Graduate Schoole of Information Sciences.

TOHOKU University.
Aoba 6-3-09 Aramaki Aoba-ku
Sendai-shi Miyagi, 980-8579
Japan

Current address:

Nakakuki 3-10-9 Oyama-shi Tochigi
Japan
e-mail:shun.maeta@gmail.com
e-mail:maeta@ims.is.tohoku.ac.jp